National Research Journal of Sales & Marketing Management
Volume No: 12, Issue No: 2, Year: 2025 (July-December)
PP: 239-254
ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

INTEGRATING INDIAN TEXTILE HERITAGE WITH MODERN SUSTAINABLE FASHION DESIGN:

A Study on Promoting Eco-Friendly and Culturally Rich Fashion in the 21st Century

Shagun Kakkar

Assistant Professor Punjab College of Technical Education

ABSTRACT

The fashion industry today is increasingly under fire for being unsustainable and destructive — from the fast fashion rush to pollution and the rapid use of natural resources. On the other hand, India has a rich textile culture that brings to the table green alternatives developed over centuries with sustainable craft – block printing, handloom weaving, natural dying and traditional embroidery have deep cultural roots here. Modern technology for sustainable fashion and traditional Indian textile techniques, motifs and materials. This research investigates how modern sustainable fashion technology can integrate with India's traditional textile techniques patterns and materials. In order to produce clothing that is both economically and environmentally responsible, the project will examine how traditional methods might be combined with sustainable 21st-century innovations including digital printing, waterless dyeing, and circular fashion design models.

The study offers a conceptual framework in which traditional processes are improved by sustainable technology without endangering the livelihoods or cultural identity of artisans. With practical advice for designers, legislators, and corporate executives, this study advances the new subject of sustainable fashion. In addition to encouraging cultural preservation and strengthening local communities, it highlights how India's textile legacy contributes to the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). According to the report, this kind of integration provides a feasible route to a fashion sector in the digital age that is more ethical, ecological, and culturally diverse.

(Key words: Sustainable Fashion Systems, Indian Textile Heritage, Cultural Sustainability, Circular Economy, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Cultural Sustainability)

1.INTRODUCTION

The fashion industry in the world today is at a crossroad in the 21 st century. Being defined by the accelerated production cycles, overuse of resources and growing environmental degradation, the supremacy of the fast fashion has made the industry one of the most polluting in the world (UNEP, 2019; Daukantiene, 2023). Intensive textile processing, chemical pollution, post-consumer textile waste (among other issues) have all contributed to the movement, creating textile linear take-make-dispose model, raising a significant concern when it comes to environmental sustainability, ethical manufacturing, and economic sustainability in the long term (Islam et al., 2021; Rehman et al., 2024). Sustainability in fashion is no longer a peripheral issue but a systemic requirement, according to scholars, that has to be transformed not only in materials, processes, business models, and socio-cultural practices (Bertola & Colombi, 2024).

At the same time, sustainable fashion has developed to be more multidimensional and include the ideas of circular economy, digital innovation, ethical consumption, and cultural sustainability (Brown & Vacca, 2022; Xue and Huang, 2023). Digital printing, waterless dyeing, smart textiles, 3D printing, and data-driven sustainable design are some of the technological solutions that have transformed the way fashion products are developed and

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

produced (Bertola & Teunissen, 2018; Pasricha and Greeninger, 2018; Shatarah, 2024). Nevertheless, researchers warn that the sustainability crisis in fashion cannot be solved through the use of technological innovation and, instead, should be integrated into culturally aware and socially inclusive systems that maintain identity, craftsmanship, and livelihoods (Claxton and Kent, 2020; Heinze, 2020). At that, the textile tradition of India offers an interesting alternative to the extractive model of fast fashion. The Indian textiles, based on centuries-old traditions of handloom weaving, block printing, natural dyeing, and artisial embroidery, have long reflected the idea of sustainability, circularity, and production oriented at the community level (McGowan, 2014; Muthu, 2016). Examples encompass the khadi production along with other activities of the Indigenous communities with a slow fashion philosophy, which had been long existing before the word had become commercially popular in other parts of the world (Gandhi, 1936; Sharma, 1970; Okada, 2022). Scholars have long highlighted khadi as a tangible and social-political symbol of independence, ethical production, and regenerative economics (Menon, 2020; Koppedrayer, 2014).

Nevertheless, because of such a rich heritage, Indian traditional textiles are marginalized by the modern fashion systems that have become largely mechanized, mass-produced, and globalized (Mishra, 2014; Yadav, 2024). The younger generations do not see any use in handwoven fabrics because they think it is old-fashioned or inactive, and artisans are unable to sell their products because of dropping demand, unstable earnings, and lack of access to new markets (Ambre and Lad, 2017; Bhandari and Arya, 2019). This absence highlights a very important disjuncture between heritage-based sustainability and contemporary fashion innovation--a disjuncture that this study aims to fill. According to the recent literature, merging traditional craftsmanship with current sustainable technologies to formulate hybrid fashion systems that would be carbon-friendly and at the same time rich in culture has become a trend (Brown and Vacca, 2022; Wood et al., 2023). There is a possibility of improving the traditional textile processes using digital tools and models of circular design and eco-innovations, without undermining their authenticity (Colombi and D'Itria, 2023; Chopra et al., 2023). As an example, digital printing may save water and create new indigenous motifs, the use of waterless dyeing can make the nature of dyeing more modern, and circular fashion can increase the lifespan of handcrafted clothing (Chen and Lin, 2018; Kozlowski et al., 2019).

Additionally, the researchers emphasise how inclusive business models, supportive institutional frameworks, and sustainable design thinking have helped designers, educators, legislators, and industry leaders make this integration possible (He & Ortiz, 2021; Ma, 2023; Bui et al., 2024). It is possible to simultaneously address environmental protection, decent work, responsible consumption, and cultural preservation by aligning traditional textile materials with global sustainability initiatives, particularly the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations (UNEP, 2019; Ray and Nayak, 2023).

It is within this framework that the current research covers the potential of applying the Indian textile heritage to the contemporary sustainable fashion technologies and the concept of circular designs, namely, through traditional methods, patterns, and materials. Through the intersection, this study will suggest a theoretical framework to reinforce the livelihoods of artisans, safeguard and perpetuate cultural identity, and spur development of sustainable fashion. By so doing, the study will be put in the expanding framework of sustainable fashion research, to rebrand Indian textile traditions not as the backdrop to the past, but as an essential resource in the creation of an ethical, ecological, and culturally encompassing fashion future in digital space.

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

2.1 The Crisis of Sustainability in Contemporary Fashion

The modern fashion business has become one of the most resource-consuming, as well as environmentally harmful industries in the world. The production cycles, mass consumption, and reduction in the lifespan of garments have also led to so much waste of water, pollution of insecticides, waste of textiles, and emission of greenhouse gases. Fast fashion models are more focused on speed and minimal costs than sustainability and social responsibility, which cause systemic externalities on the environment and society (UNEP, 2019; Bertola and Colombi, 2024).

In addition to the environmental degradation, the fashion industry has suffered the wrath of exploitive labour practices especially in the developing economies whereby manufacturing is outsourced. Unsafe work environment, informal work, and inequity in wages are still present even as the sustainability rhetoric of global brands increasingly takes hold. These inconsistencies have made different scholars term the existing sustainability efforts as fragmentary and performative, but not transformative (Daukantiene, 2023).

Also, mainstream sustainability approaches tend to be focused on technological solutions or substance replacements without a real solution to the underlying structural problems that include excess production, homogenization of cultures, and consumerism. This limited focus does not resonate with indigenous knowledge systems and traditional forms of production which necessarily represent the idea of sustainability. Therefore, the fashion industry needs new paradigms that transcend efficiency-based solutions that will focus on culturally based, ethically based, and systemic models of sustainability.

2.2 Conceptualizing Sustainable Fashion: From Eco-Efficiency to Cultural Sustainability

Initially, the sustainable fashion literature primarily addressed environmental efficiency, which included the reduction of waste, emissions, and resource use (Fletcher et al. 2012; Muthu, 2016). More contemporary research suggests that sustainability should also be defined by adding social equity, cultural sustainability, and creating ethical value (Brown and Vacca, 2022). By focusing on cultural sustainability, there is an importance given to preserving traditional practices and identity as well as aligning with the practical norms of the marketplace (Visvanathan, 1997; Brown and Vacca, 2022).

Payne (2019) describes sustainable fashion as the “taming of the excesses of industry and the rewilding of fashion systems.” Correspondingly, Bertola and Colombi (2024) argue that sustainable fashion transitions must be “socio-cultural in nature and include technology.” Again, their views underscore the significance of the indigenous textile systems that would naturally include slow fashion and the values of respect for materials and community involvement.

2.3 Role of Design, Innovation, and Digital Transformation in Sustainable Fashion

Design is an enormously influential factor in fashion’s sustainability impact, contributing up to 80% of the total sustainability of an item (Claxton & Kent, 2020). Techniques for sustainable fashion design include zero-waste pattern cutting, modular fashion designs, recycling materials, and product life extension (Kozlowski et al., 2018; Kozlowski et al., 2019). Designers are now taking on the role of “change agents” in mediating fashion and sustainability (Claxton & Kent, 2020; Heinze, 2020).

The digital transformation, also known as Fashion 4.0, has also impacted the paths to sustainable innovation. This is because technologies such as digital printing, 3D design

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

simulation, artificial intelligence, blockchain, and smart textiles support the efficient use of resources as well as minimizing waste as they make innovation processes more transparent (Bertola & Teunissen, 2018; Colombi & D'Itria, 2023; Hardabkhadze et al., 2023). Digital printing, to cite a specific technology, reduces water and color use by a large margin compared to traditional printing techniques, allowing for customization as well (Chen & Lin, 2018).

Nevertheless, academicians emphasize that digitalization should occur on ethical lines to avoid marginalization and technology disparities for conventional craftsmen and women (Bertola and Colombi, 2024; Wood et al., 2023). This tackles and underlines the need for integrating models and approaches that are technology-efficient and human/craft-focused.

2.4 Circular Economy and Sustainable Fashion Business Models

Circular fashion business models work on principles of closing material loops, using various methods such as reuse, recycling, upcycling, or product longevity (Chopra et al., 2023; Rehman et al., 2024). Research suggests that take-back schemes, textiles waste valorisation methods (recycling/integrating waste into new clothing), rental services, and collaborative consumption models can be employed effectively as circular economy strategies (Lee, 2021; Elf et al., 2022). Building on their research, Colombi and D'Itria (2023) state that to achieve a successful transition to a circular economy from a linear economy requires innovation within the business model. According to He and Ortiz, (2021), for sustainability to occur in the entire value chain of the organisation, design thinking and systemic innovation need to be implemented. Sehnem et al., (2024) highlight that even with progress, the adoption of circular fashion businesses has been restricted because of a lack of infrastructure and regulatory support, as well as very limited consumer engagement (particularly in developing countries)

2.5 Consumer Behaviour and Sustainable Fashion Adoption

There is a lot of research that says as people become more aware of climate change and how it affects the environment, they will likely have good intentions to buy sustainable fashion, but they also have a wide gap between their intentions and the actual purchase behaviour (Campos et al. 2023; Evans & Peirson-Smith 2018). Price sensitivity is one of the factors causing consumers to be less likely to purchase sustainably produced goods, along with limited access to those products, a lack of clarity on sustainability claims, and aesthetic issues associated with sustainable goods (Khandual & Pradhan 2019; Pandian & Pari 2024).

According to the marketing management literature, some ways to encourage the purchase of sustainable fashion are to provide clear communication and information, use cultural stories to tell your company's story, and to be authentic about who you are as a company selling sustainable fashion (Ray & Nayak 2023; Orminski et al. 2021). The incorporation of cultural heritage stories, including craft and artisan stories, creates a strong emotional connection to consumers and provides increased value in the purchase (Brown & Vacca 2022).

2.6 Indian Textile Heritage as an Inherent Sustainable System

The textile traditions of India are thought to be an example of long-lasting sustainable fashion systems based on the local ecologies, the local crafts, and social organisations (McGowan, 2014; Muthu, & Gardetti, 2016). Examples of these types of techniques include block printing, handloom weaving, natural dyeing and embroidery using renewable resources, low-energy production methods and producing minimum waste (P. Parikh 2011; Patel, & Mehta, 2021). Khadi, which means 'handspun cloth', has been widely studied as an example of a sustainable craft that promotes self-reliance and ethical production (Gandhi, 1936; Sharma, 1970). Researchers also cite the environmental advantages of khadi, including the fabric's

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

ability to decompose, the reduced carbon footprint, and the employment of rural communities (Bhandari & Arth, 2019; Nagore, 2024). According to government data, khadi sales have grown significantly as consumers are increasingly interested in purchasing sustainable products made from local sources (KVIC, 2023). However, studies indicate challenges that exist for traditional textile industries, such as low and declining artisan incomes, few innovative designs, and limited opportunities for traditional textile producers to connect with and sell their products through contemporary fashion markets (Mishra, 2014; Ambre & Lad, 2017; Yadav, 2024). This disconnect illustrates the importance of developing modernisation strategies that help preserve the cultural authenticity of these crafts, while concurrently facilitating the ability of these crafts to connect and compete in modern markets.

2.7 Integrating Traditional Textiles with Modern Sustainable Technologies

Contemporary research also shines a light on hybrid models, which combine the best of artisanal skills with new sustainable technologies to provide efficiency, scalability, and innovation (Brown & Vacca, 2022; Wood et al., 2023). Technology can help with retaining motifs, accuracy in patterns, and designing processes without displacing human workers (Bertola & Teunissen, 2018).

Regarding khadi and handloom modernization, research emphasizes the importance of eco-finishing, digital documentation, sustainable dye technologies, and design interventions (Pant & Sonee, 2011; Sohoni & Trivedi, 2024). Empirical studies on structured models of integration, especially focusing on artisans, design, technology, and circular economy, are scant.

3. INDIAN TEXTILE HERITAGE AS A FOUNDATION FOR SUSTAINABLE FASHION

Indian textile tradition is one of the most varied and ancient systems of textile manufacturing in the world that is rooted in local cultures, ecological frameworks, and social systems. The use of handloom weaving, natural dyeing, block printing, embroidery, spinning, and many others have been developed historically in connection with the local environmental conditions, which has led to production systems with a minimal number of waste, renewable materials, and low energy consumption (Muthu, 2016). Indian textile philosophies are based on the idea of harmonious human-nature-craft relationship. The encouragement of Khadi by Mahatma Gandhi served as a model of how textiles could be used as a tool of moral production, self-reliance, socio-economic self-sufficiency and empowerment (Gandhi, 1936). Even nowadays, Khadi and other handloom products are in line with modern sustainability standards, such as slow fashion, durability, and ethical working practices. Nevertheless, traditional textile practices are marginalized even in the contemporary fashion markets despite their inherent sustainability. Their integration into the global fashion systems has been limited by challenges like low scalability, reduced artisan interactions, inaccessibility of the markets, and poor institutional support. This inability to relate highlights the importance of relocating Indian textile heritage beyond its cultural artifact status, to a status of viable source of sustainable fashion innovation.

4. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

4.1 Basis of the Conceptual Framework

The theoretical framework of this paper is determined by force of a comprehensive review of secondary literature based on sustainable fashion theory, literature on the circular economy, literature on digital fashion innovation and research on the Indian textile heritage. Since the study is conceptual in nature, the framework does not aim at testing the hypothesis

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

empirically or analysing primary data. Rather, it is an integrative and explanatory framework that works to demonstrate how conventional textile systems could be strategically coupled with modern practices in sustainable fashion to reach a holistic sustainability result. This framework is based on the fact that sustainability in fashion cannot be developed by using technological efficiency or material innovation alone. Instead, it needs a complex dimension that tackles on the environmental responsibility, cultural continuity, ethical production and economic viability all at the same time. The literature on sustainability is becoming more focused on the shortcomings of existing fragmented sustainability projects, which fail to consider cultural and social aspects, especially in the areas connected with indigenous knowledge systems like India (Muthu, 2016; Bertola and Teunissen, 2018). In that regard, three interdependent theoretical perspectives are informative of the framework. To begin with, sustainable fashion systems theory Theories conceptualize fashion as a multifaceted socio-technical system of design, production, consumption and disposal in which the idea of sustainability has to be woven throughout the whole lifecycle. Second, the cultural sustainability and craft preservation theory highlights the role of preserving the traditional knowledge and skills of artisans and cultural identities as part of sustainable development. Third, the theory of the circular economy and design-led innovation promotes regenerative models of production which reduce the amount of waste, prolong the life of products and encourage the responsible use of resources. Combining these views, the framework will give a systematic perspective in which the relationship between the Indian textile legacy and the contemporary sustainable fashion technologies can be interpreted. It no longer places traditional practices as showing outdated and inability to embrace innovation, but as a source of input that, with the right technological and institutional mechanisms, can be utilized to bring sustainable change to the fashion industry.

4.2 The Major Elements of the Conceptual Framework.

The conceptual model has been arranged into five interwoven layers which include input, enabling, mediating, output, and impact which are five different but interdependent layers of sustainable fashion integration.

4.2.1 Indian Traditional Textile Heritage (Input Layer)

The input layer is the element of the framework that shows its roots and which is the traditional Indian textile practices that have developed over the centuries due to the localized knowledge systems and ecological adaptation. They include handloom weaving, Khadi, block printing, natural dyeing method, traditional embroidery and surface decoration, and indigenous materials and fibres like cotton, silk, wool, and natural dyes. These processes are also in line with the principle of sustainability because they involve low-energy consumption, low-level of mechanization, use of biodegradable materials and use of community-based production. In contrast to the industrialized textile production, the traditional systems are focused on durability, repair, and seasonal production, which minimizes the number of material waste and environmental pressures (Parikh, 2011; Muthu, 2016). Traditional textile practices bear some important cultural and symbolical meaning beyond the benefits they have on the environment. They are used as a manifestation of local identity, local tradition, and intergenerational knowledge of artisans. Yet, these practices have certain drawbacks in terms of their sustainability, even though they are potentially sustainable, including difficulties in accessibility of markets, scaling, and maintaining market competitiveness in the world fashion arena. In the context, the heritage of traditional Indian textiles is hence ideated as a critical input which must be strategically supported by the modern systems to survive and keep its relevance (Bhandari and Arya, 2019).

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

4.2.2 Enabling Layer Modern Sustainable Fashion Technologies

The enabling layer comprises of modern technological and design innovations that support the sustainable change of the fashion systems. This encompasses digital printing of textiles, low-impact dyeing and waterless dyeing, smart and eco-friendly materials, 3D (3D) designing, zero waste pattern cutting, models of circular fashion design, including reuse, upcycling, and recycling, and tools of sustainable supply chain and lifecycle assessment. Most of the structural bottlenecks connected to traditional textile systems are overcome through these technologies through boosting production output, cutting the use of resources and its scalability. As an example, digital printing uses much less water and chemicals than the traditional process of dyeing, and 3D design software allows creating a prototype so precise that it requires less material wastage during the design process (Chen & Lin, 2018). In the conceptual framework, the modern technologies are put as the facilitator and not the substitute. They are to facilitate the modern day artisanship through streamlining operations, delivering quality continuity and enhancing market sensitivity without compromising cultural authenticity. Such technologies have the potential to extend product life cycles, reduce environmental effects, and enhance transparency in the fashion supply chains in line with sustainability objectives (Bertola & Teunissen, 2018; Chopra et al., 2023).

4.2.3 Integrative Design and Sustainability Strategy (Mediating Layer).

The mediating layer suggests the key intermediary process between the conventional textile tradition and contemporary sustainable fashion technologies. It is the symbolic and institutional ways and means whereby integration takes place whereby technological interventions do not replace the traditional knowledge systems but support them. The main elements of this layer are, sustainable thinking on design, collaboration and co-creation between designers and artisans, ethical production and fair-trade, heritage based contemporary design, policy and institutional enabling, and education and skill development programs. Sustainable design thinking defines responsible innovation by promoting designers to think about environmental, social and cultural effects of all phases of the design process. The models of co- creation help in exchanging of knowledge between the artisans and designers where the old methods will be reinterpreted into the modern fashion setting without losing their cultural significance. The role of policy interventions and institutional structures is important as they offer financial resources, infrastructure, training and market access to the artisan communities (Claxton and Kent, 2020; Brown and Vacca, 2022). This mediating layer is also reinforced with education and skill development programs that provide the artisans and designers with the skills necessary to operate in the changing technologies and global markets. Together, all these strategies will make the integration ethical, inclusive, and long-term sustainable (Wood et al., 2023).

4.2.4 Integration (Output Layer) Outcomes.

The output layer is responsible of taking note of the immediate and tangible consequences of the successful combination of traditional textile heritage and contemporary sustainable fashion practices. The first major result is the creation of eco-friendly fashion-based products that reflect the low-impact production and sustainable materials as well as long-lasting product life cycles. Also, this incorporation helps in maintaining the cultural identity and craftsmanship by maintaining traditional skills in modern fashion ecosystem. The other significant implication for artisans and communities is the economic empowerment of artisans through market access, pay, and value addition that ensures the sustainability of livelihood opportunities. The integrated model also helps to reduce the overall environmental footprint of a fashion production at the industry level and increase the global competitive

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

ability of the Indian sustainable fashion due to differentiation, authenticity and ethical branding.

4.2.5 Consistent with Sustainable Development Goals (Impact Layer)

The last layer of the impact places the conceptual framework into a larger global development perspective by identifying the results of the concept in alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The framework also targets the SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth by enhancing ethical employment, gender inequalities in the form of fair wages, and the participation of everyone in economic development. Incorporating innovation with the conventional industry is in line with SDG 9: Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure. Saving cultural heritage and sustainable livelihoods of communities lowers the SDG 11 Goal: Sustainable Cities and Communities, the responsible production practice and less waste contribute to SDG 12 Goal: Responsible Consumption and Production. Moreover, the minimization of environmental effects due to low-energy and circular models of production coincides with SDG 13: Climate Action (UNEP, 2019). The linking of micro-level design activities with the macro-level aims of development through this framework emphasizes the wider societally relevant implications of the combination of Indian textile culture and designs in sustainable fashion.

5. DISCUSSIONS

The current research project contributes to the discussion of sustainable fashion because it conceptually proves that Indian textile heritage and the latest sustainable fashion technologies are not the two opposing forces, but they are the complementary systems that can support each other in case implemented strategically. The discussion contextualizes the suggested conceptual framework by placing it in the wider frameworks of sustainable development, cultural conservation, and innovation in the international fashion business. In the current discourse of sustainability in fashion, material innovation, and efficiency gains, as well as technological interventions to minimize environmental impact, have dominated the new discourse of sustainability. Though such initiatives are considerable, as the available literature implies, such solutions tend to remain limited and incomplete in the absence of cultural and social aspects of sustainability (Bertola and Teunissen, 2018; Chopra et al., 2023). The framework established in this paper fills this gap by having a foreshadowing of traditional Indian textile systems as a source of sustainability resources and not the legacy practices that need to be replaced

5.1 Reframing Sustainability Through Cultural Embeddedness

The major contribution of the study is in the fact that it redefines sustainability as a cultural phenomenon. The textile practices of handloom weaving, Khadi, and natural dyeing in India are inherently sustainable since they use low-energy sources, the localized nature of production networks, and the strong social structure (Muthu, 2016). Nevertheless, the practices are not always part of the mainstream sustainability models that focus on scalability and industrial efficiency. The conceptual framework tries to break this exclusion by putting the textile heritage as the input layer of sustainable fashion systems. Such re-framing serves in line with the theory of cultural sustainability that holds that environmental sustainability cannot be maintained in isolation of cultural continuity and social equity (Parikh, 2011; Bhandari and Arya, 2019). The ability of historic heritage to be a living system and not a fixed object can be used as a contribution to the more holistic understanding of sustainability that is not limited to carbon indicators and resource efficiency.

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

5.2 Technology as an Enabler, Not a Disruptor

The other important lesson that occurred during the framework is the redefinition of the role of technology in sustainable fashion. Common cultural discourses tend to depict technological progress as a disruptive technology that supplants the old production forms. On the contrary, this work lines up the modern sustainable fashion technologies as the facilitating mechanisms that increase the viability of the heritage-based mechanisms. Solutions to these enduring issues in the traditional textile industries, such as poor quality, poor scalability, and access to the market, are presented by digital textile printing, zero-waste pattern cutting, and exhibiting circular design tools (Chen and Lin, 2018). These technologies when used sensibly can lead to less environmental impact and also not compromise aesthetic and cultural integrity of the hand crafted textiles. Such a view is consistent with the literature on design-led innovation where the main focus should be on how technology can support human creativity and cultural expression instead of dominating it (Bertola and Teunissen, 2018). The debate thereby transforms the technological determinism idea of sustainability to collaborative innovation.

5.3 Importance of Mediating Structures in Integration

The conceptual framework mediating layer emphasizes the need to establish ethical and sustainable integration by institutional, educational, and design-oriented mechanisms. The paper highlights the need to ensure that integration is not a natural process but a planned process that involves the use of co-creation, policy intervention, and capacity building. The models of co-creation between designers and artisans are particularly important since they allow mutual exchange of knowledge and eliminate cultural appropriation. Instead of taking traditional motifs and commercializing them, collaborative design practices make sure that artisans are always active participants in the value creation (Claxton and Kent, 2020, Brown and Vacca, 2022). Moreover, schools and systems of policies are decisive in perpetuating integration. Combined training programs make artisans serviceable to the evolving market needs by teaching old craftsmanship with new design tools, and the policy support will guarantee the economic efficiency and sustainability in the long run (Wood et al., 2023).

5.4 Implications for the Global Sustainable Fashion Discourse

On a larger scale, the work can add to the discussion of sustainable fashion in the world as it provides another model based on the indigenous knowledge systems. Although a lot of the information on sustainability is produced in Western industrial settings, this model shows how non-Western traditions of textile production can be used to design sustainability procedures worldwide. The integrated model is also almost identical to the principles of slow fashion and the circular economy by focusing on slowness in production, longevity, and cultural significance. It also questions the paradigms of fast fashion which emphasize fastness and disposability, and makes it incompatible with environmental and social sustainability over the long term. The framework also establishes the Indian sustainable fashion as a differentiated worldwide product, which cannot compete on the basis of cost-cutting but on the basis of authenticity, moral worth, and culture. This repositioning has its consequences in terms of branding, consumer interaction and international market strategy.

5.5 Bridging Theory and Practice

The discourse also cuts across theoretical views and the realities of life. The theory of sustainable fashion systems is a macro-level concept of fashion as an ecosystem and the cultural sustainability theory is a micro-level concept of artisan communities and maintaining heritage. The concept of a circular economy relates these views by offering practical ways of

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

limiting waste and recycling product life. Using these theories and combining them into one conceptual framework, the study illustrates how sustainability can be conceptualized at various levels of the fashion value chain. This synthesizing strategy enhances theoretical basis of sustainable fashion studies and gives an outline of empirical enquiry in future.

6. CONCLUSION

Through the conceptual framework developed in this research, evidence has been provided that integrating Indian textile heritage forms an innovative and viable avenue towards achieving more ethical and ecologically sound fashion industries. Furthermore, the application of modern technology will cultivate sustainable fashion methods by integrating sustainability principles associated with traditional Indian textile practices (e.g., use of low resources; biocompatibility; producing in and for local communities). However, the limitations faced by these traditional approaches to textiles (market scalability, market accessibility, and technological adaptability) are significant when considering global fashion trends that are rapidly changing, as well as growing consumer demands. (Muthu, 2016; Parikh, 2011).

The inclusion of the above supports how modern technology may complement traditional practices and increase the value of heritage by preserving the significance of culture and the livelihood of artisans in the communities where they live and work. (Bertola & Teunissen, 2018; Chopra et al., 2023). The concept of Cultural Sustainability in Sustainable Fashion extends the current discourse surrounding sustainability beyond just being environmentally sustainable and economically viable. This research acknowledges India's historical contribution to the global textile industry and illustrates its relevance as a model for helping address climate change, responsible consumption, and inclusive economic growth. In conclusion, Sustainable Fashion for the next century must continue to incorporate both technological innovations and Cultural Sustainability in order to accomplish meaningful change in the fashion industry.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

Considering the theoretical findings of this paper, the following are some of the recommendations that the key stakeholders should be able to implement:

1. Fashion designers and brands need to follow the model of collaborative design and co-creation that combines the old methods of craftsmanship with new technologies based on sustainability. This can be done through methods like increasing authenticity in the product, decreasing environmental footprint, and reinforcing ethical branding in international markets (Claxton and Kent, 2020).
2. Government institutions and policy makers such as textile and craft development agencies, should develop policies that promote the use of artisan-led sustainable fashion by funding the activities as well as developing infrastructures that will support the implementation of the circular production model, protecting the intellectual property of the traditional designs, and providing incentives (UNEP, 2019).3.
3. Traditional textile knowledge and sustainable fashion technology in digital design tools and principles of the circular economy should be incorporated into the curricula of educational institutions and design schools to create designers with the knowledge of the sustainable culture of fashion-related innovation (Wood et al., 2023). 4.
4. Indian textile heritage should be used as a competitive sustainability tool and not a niche or heritage-only product by fashion businesses and startups in India. This can be

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

improved by adding storytelling, ethical transparency, and sustainable certification to increase consumer confidence and competitiveness on the global stage (Brown and Vacca, 2022).

5. To promote equitable trade practices, skill enhancement, and long term livelihood security of the artisan communities, industry-community partnerships ought to be promoted and this will strengthen social sustainability, coupled with environmental goals.

8. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The research findings have some limitations. They relied heavily on secondary data and did not collect any primary data nor was it verified through empirical studies. As such, the potential for the research to assess result-oriented outcomes in the real world is restricted. Further, this research is mainly based on the text of English-language experience from Indian textile traditions. Since the textual examples are solely taken from India, this limits the applicability and generalizability of the research to other cultures and societies.

9. SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future Research Could Test the Proposed Conceptual Framework with Case Studies, Field-Based Research or Mixed Methodologies Involving Designers, Artisans and Consumers; Comparative Studies of Different Cultural Textile Traditions Could Increase Our Understanding of Heritage Based Sustainable Models; A Quantitative Assessment of Environmental and Socio-Economic Effects Would Enhance the Ability to Implement Policy and Industry Applications.

10. REFERENCES

1. Bertola P. Colombi C. (2024). Can fashion be sustainable? Trajectories of change in organizational, products and processes, and socio-cultural contexts. *Sustainability: Science*. Practice and Policy, 20(1), 2312682.
2. Bertola P. Teunissen J. (2018). Fashion 4.0. Innovating fashion industry through digital transformation. Research Journal of Textile and Apparel, 22(4), 352–369. 10.1108/RJTA-03-2018-0023.
3. Bicho, M., Pereira, M., Barata, T. R., Belino, N., & Miguel, R. (2023, September). Sustainable Fashion Product Development: The Importance of Skills in New Materials and Processes in the Academy and Industry Context. In *International Fashion and Design Congress* (pp. 287-301). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
4. Brown S. Vacca F. (2022). Cultural sustainability in fashion: reflections on craft and sustainable development models. *Sustainability: Science*. Practice and Policy, 18(1), 590–600.
5. Bui T. Cappellieri A. Takacs B. (2024). The effectiveness of design practice for achieving sustainability and diffusing sustainable fashion.International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education, 17(1), 97–107. 10.1080/17543266.2023.2250814
6. Campos P. D. O. Lima A. A. L. D. S. Costa C. S. R. Costa M. F. D. (2023). The influence of voluntary simplicity and environmental activism on sustainable fashion purchase intention.Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 27(2), 352–369. 10.1108/JFMM-10-2021-0254

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

7. Chen M. L. Lin J. W. (2018). Innovations in Sustainable Materials and Fabrication Technologies for a Greener Fashion Future.Sage Science Review of Educational Technology, 1(9), 1–12.
8. Chopra S. S. Dong L. Kaur G. Len C. Lin C. S. K. (2023). Sustainable process design for circular fashion: Advances in sustainable chemistry for textile waste valorisation.Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry, 39, 100747. 10.1016/j.cogsc.2022.100747
9. Claxton S. Kent A. (2020). The management of sustainable fashion design strategies: An analysis of the designer's role.Journal of Cleaner Production, 268, 122112. 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122112
10. Colombi C. D'Itria E. (2023). Fashion digital transformation: Innovating business models toward circular economy and sustainability.Sustainability (Basel), 15(6), 4942. 10.3390/su15064942
11. Daukantienė V. (2023). Analysis of the sustainability aspects of fashion: A literature review.Textile Research Journal, 93(3-4), 991–1002. 10.1177/00405175221124971
12. Elf P. Werner A. Black S. (2022). Advancing the circular economy through dynamic capabilities and extended customer engagement: Insights from small sustainable fashion enterprises in the UK.Business Strategy and the Environment, 31(6), 2682–2699. 10.1002/bse.2999
13. Evans S. Peirson-Smith A. (2018). The sustainability word challenge: Exploring consumer interpretations of frequently used words to promote sustainable fashion brand behaviors and imagery.Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 22(2), 252–269. 10.1108/JFMM-10-2017-0103
14. Gurova O. Morozova D. (2018). A critical approach to sustainable fashion: Practices of clothing designers in the Kallio neighborhood of Helsinki.Journal of Consumer Culture, 18(3), 397–413. 10.1177/1469540516668227
15. Hardabkhadze I. Bereznenko S. Kyselova K. Bilotska L. Vodzinska O. (2023). Fashion industry: Exploring the stages of digitalization, innovative potential and prospects of transformation into an environmentally sustainable ecosystem.Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies, 1(13), 121.
16. He J. Ortiz J. (2021). Sustainable business modeling: The need for innovative design thinking.Journal of Cleaner Production, 298, 126751. 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126751
17. Heinze L. (2020). Fashion with heart: Sustainable fashion entrepreneurs, emotional labour and implications for a sustainable fashion system.Sustainable Development (Bradford), 28(6), 1554–1563. 10.1002/sd.2104
18. Ikram M. (2022). Transition toward green economy: Technological Innovation's role in the fashion industry.Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry, 37, 100657. 10.1016/j.cogsc.2022.100657
19. Islam M. M. Perry P. Gill S. (2021). Mapping environmentally sustainable practices in textiles, apparel and fashion industries: A systematic literature review.Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 25(2), 331–353. 10.1108/JFMM-07-2020-0130
20. Khandual, A., & Pradhan, S. (2019). Fashion brands and consumers approach towards sustainable fashion. Fast fashion, fashion brands and sustainable consumption, 37-54.

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

21. Kozlowski A. Bardecki M. Searcy C. (2019). Tools for sustainable fashion design: An analysis of their fitness for purpose.Sustainability (Basel), 11(13), 3581. 10.3390/su11133581

22. Kozlowski A. Bardecki M. Searcy C. (2019). Tools for sustainable fashion design: An analysis of their fitness for purpose.Sustainability (Basel), 11(13), 3581. 10.3390/su11133581

23. Lee Y. K. (2021). Transformation of the innovative and sustainable supply chain with upcoming real-time fashion systems.Sustainability (Basel), 13(3), 1081. 10.3390/su13031081

24. Ma J. J. (2023). Development of education for sustainable fashion design using a challenge-based learning approach.International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education, 16(2), 164–174. 10.1080/17543266.2022.2137249

25. Mac Lennan M. L. F. Tiago E. F. Pereira C. E. C. (2023). Technological and non-technological trends in fashion eco-innovations.Innovation & Management Review, 20(1), 60–75. 10.1108/INMR-12-2020-0177

26. Magri M. Ciarletta P. (2023). Mathematics meets the fashion industry on path to product innovation and sustainability.Proceedings - Royal Society. Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 479(2274), 20220715. 10.1098/rspa.2022.0715

27. Orminski J. Tandoc E. C. Jr Detenber B. H. (2021). # sustainablefashion–a conceptual framework for sustainable fashion discourse on Twitter.Environmental Communication, 15(1), 115–132. 10.1080/17524032.2020.1802321

28. Pandian T. Pari J. (2024). Consumers Problems in Innovative Fashion Apparel Products.Educational Administration: Theory and Practice, 30(4), 7255–7260.

29. Pasricha A. Greeninger R. (2018). Exploration of 3D printing to create zero-waste sustainable fashion notions and jewelry.Fashion and Textiles, 5(1), 1–18. 10.1186/s40691-018-0152-2

30. Payne A. (2019). Fashion futuring in the Anthropocene: Sustainable fashion as “taming” and “rewilding”.Fashion Theory, 23(1), 5–23. 10.1080/1362704X.2017.1374097

31. Pérez-Bou S. Cantista I. (2023). Politics, sustainability and innovation in fast fashion and luxury fashion groups.International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education, 16(1), 46–56. 10.1080/17543266.2022.2113153

32. Pervez M. N. Yeo W. S. Shafiq F. Jilani M. M. Sarwar Z. Riza M. Lin L. Xiong X. Naddeo V. Cai Y. (2023). Sustainable fashion: Design of the experiment assisted machine learning for the environmental-friendly resin finishing of cotton fabric.Heliyon, 9(1), e12883. 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e1288336691543

33. Ray S. Nayak L. (2023). Marketing sustainable fashion: Trends and future directions.Sustainability (Basel), 15(7), 6202. 10.3390/su15076202

34. Rehman M. Petrillo A. Ortíz-Barrios M. Forcina A. Baffo I. De Felice F. (2024). Sustainable fashion: Mapping waste streams and life cycle management.Journal of Cleaner Production, 444, 141279. 10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141279

35. Sehnem S. Troiani L. Lara A. C. Guerreiro Crizel M. Carvalho L. Rodrigues V. P. (2024). Sustainable fashion: Challenges and barriers for advancing the circular

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

economy.Environment, Development and Sustainability, 26(2), 4097–4118. 10.1007/s10668-022-02872-9

36. Sehnem S. Troiani L. Lara A. C. Guerreiro Crizel M. Carvalho L. Rodrigues V. P. (2024). Sustainable fashion: Challenges and barriers for advancing the circular economy.Environment, Development and Sustainability, 26(2), 4097–4118. 10.1007/s10668-022-02872-9
37. Shatarah S. M. (2024). Smart Textiles for Sustainable Fashion: Integrating Technology and Eco-Friendly Materials.Kurdish Studies, 12(1), 4621–4630.
38. Tam, F. Y., & Lung, J. W. (2023). Impact of COVID-19 and innovative ideas for a sustainable fashion supply chain in the future. Foresight, 25(2), 225-248.
39. Wood J. Redfern J. Verran J. (2023). Developing textile sustainability education in the curriculum: Pedagogical approaches to material innovation in fashion.International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education, 16(2), 141–151. 10.1080/17543266.2022.213191338098645
40. Xue Z. Huang Z. (2023). Current development and future prospects of designing sustainable fashion.AUTEX Research Journal, 23(3), 420–431. 10.2478/aut-2022-0013
41. Younus M. Pathan S. H. Amin M. R. Tania I. Ouboucetta R. (2024). Sustainable fashion analytics: Predicting the future of eco-friendly textile. Global Mainstream Journal of Business, Economics. Development & Project Management, 3(03), 13–26.
42. Ambre, P. P., & Lad, S. (2017). Khadi–Awareness and promotion among youth. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 4(7), 2149-2153.
43. Bhandari, V., & Arya, N. (2019). Khadi: A sustainable handicraft. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 8(6), 661-664.
44. Choudhury, S., & Ghosh, A. (2016). Economic Development and "Khadi": An Analysis. Journal of Rural Development, 35(2), 255–262.
45. Fibre2fashion. (2023). Sales of Khadi Industry in India's Assam State Worth $2.25 Million in 2023. Khadi and Regional Markets: An Overview. Retrieved from https://www.fibre2fashion.com.
46. Fletcher, K., Grose, L., & Hawken, P. (Foreword). (2012). Fashion and Sustainability. Laurence King Publishing. ISBN 1856697541, 9781856697545.
47. Gandhi, M. K. (1936). Village Industries. Navajivan Publishing House.
48. Khadi and Village Industries Commission (KVIC). (2023). Khadi Sales Rise to Rs. 6,496 Crore in 2023-24. Khadi and Village Industries: Current Trends. Retrieved from https://fashionatingworld.com.
49. Koppedrayer, K. (2014). Khadi: Gandhi's Mega Symbol of Subversion, by Peter Gonsalves. South Asian History and Culture, 5(3), 395–398. https://doi.org/10.1080/19472498.2014.905327. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/19472498.2014.905327
50. McGowan, A. (Year). Crafting the Nation in Colonial India. Palgrave Macmillan New York. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230623231. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230623231

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

51. Menon, Nikhil. (2020). Gandhi's Spinning Wheel: The Charkha and Its Regenerative Effects. Journal of the History of Ideas. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1353/jhi.2020.0034

52. Mishra, P. (2014). Khadi-sustaining the change in generation gap. Asian Journal of Marketing, 8(2), 86–97. https://doi.org/10.3923/ajm.2014.86.97.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3923/ajm.2014.86.97

53. Muthu, S. S. (Ed.). (2016). Textiles and Clothing Sustainability: Sustainable Fashion and Consumption. Springer. ISBN: 9789811021312.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2131-2

54. Muthu, S. S., & Gardetti, M. Á. (Eds.). (2016). Sustainable Fibres for Fashion Industry: Volume 1. Springer. ISBN: 9789811005220.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0522-0

55. Nagore, R. (2024). Sustainable Practices in Khadi Production: An Assessment. Published on June 01, 2024.

56. Okada, Y. (2022). Transformation of Gandhi's Khadi: From a National Symbol to an Icon of Sustainable Product. The Journal of the Asian Conference of Design History and Theory, 4. ISSN 2189-7166.

57. Padole, H. A. (2017). Gandhi's Khadi: The Pride of Nation. International Journal of Researches in Social Sciences and Information Studies, 5(2), 164-167. Retrieved from http://www.vmsindia.org.

58. Pant, D. S., & Sonee, N. (2011). Effect of resin finishing on stiffness and drape of Khadi fabric. Indian Journal of Applied Research, 1(9), 214–
216. https://doi.org/10.15373/2249555x/jun2012/76.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.15373/2249555X/JUN2012/76

59. Parikh, G. (2011). History of Khadi. National Book Trust, New Delhi, p. 30.

60. Patel, K., & Mehta, R. (2021). Khadi: A Sustainable Approach to Fashion. International Journal of Fashion Studies, 5(3), 215-228.

61. Pyne, A. S. (2017). An Exploratory Study on Khadi Industry of India. SSRN Electronic Journal. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.2947509.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2947509

62. Shakya, A., & Swami, C. (2021). Designing for Khadi Kurtis Inspired from Mughal Silhouettes for Contemporary Women Wear. International Journal of Home Science, 7(2), 1-7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/23957476.2021.v7.i2a.1138

63. Sharma, Y. (1970). Cotton Khadi in Indian Economy. Navajivan Publishing House, Ahmedabad, p. 275.

64. Sohoni, S., & Trivedi, P. (2024). Design and Development of Khadi Fabric Collection Using Subtraction Cutting Method - A Sustainable Approach. Retrieved from ResearchGate: DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.31045.22243.

65. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2019). Sustainability and the Fashion Industry: A Global Perspective. Retrieved from https://www.unep.org.

66. Verma, A., & Beri, R. (Year). Green is the New Black: A Dissection of Sustainable Fashion. International Journal of Policy Sciences and Law (IJPSL), 1(3), 1136.

ISSN: 2349-512X
Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.95)
Journal Website www.nrjsmm.in

67. Visvanathan, S. (1997). A Carnival for Science: Essays on Science, Technology and Development. Delhi: Oxford University Press.

68. Volza. (2023). Khadi Export Data. Khadi and Global Trade: Insights from Export Data. Retrieved from https://www.volza.com.

69. Yadav, N. (2024). Journey of Khadi: From Freedom to Transformation. International Journal of Applied Research, 10(3), 70–74.

70. Venkatesh, J., & Deekshitha, G. (2024). Pathways to Make "Khadi" Sustainable. International Journal of Applied Research, 10(3), 70–74